

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/576,706	05/22/2000	Burch E. Zehner	1002-171B	6333
8698	7590 01/05/2004		EXAMINER	
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP			SZEKELY, PETER A	
495 METRO PLACE SOUTH SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~~			
Advisory Action	09/576,706	ZEHNER, BURCH E	<u>.</u>			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	•	1	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN COI void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	NDITION FOR ALLO cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	DWANCE. ly to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 36(a) and the appropriate.	ee MPEP			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in the state of the final reje	the final Office action; or (ection, even if timely filed, r	2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a www.or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr						
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	····· 1				
10. Other:		Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the primary references show siding panels made from applicant's claimed ingredients, while the secondary and tertiary references prove that applicant's claimed composition can be fashioned into moldings using applicant's claimed method. Since siding panels are moldings, the use of the methods of the non-primary references, to manufacture the molded articles of the primary references would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made.